

THE REDUCIBILITY OF AN AIRY OPERATOR

LOTFI SAIDANE

ABSTRACT. We show that the determinant $\nabla(d, \alpha)$, which seems to be not considered in the past, is not zero. As an application of this result we prove that the Setoyanagi operator $S_{p,q} = \partial^2 - (ax^p + bx^q)$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{C}(x)[\partial]$.

1. INTRODUCTION

It is well known (see [D, L-R]) that is the operator $\partial^2 - q$, $q \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ is reducible in $\mathbb{C}(x)[\partial]$ if and only if the Ricatti equation $u' + u^2 = q$ has a solution in $\mathbb{C}(x)$. We propose a more manageable criterion using the determining factors properties of the operator. We can easily prove that an Airy operator $L = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i \partial^i + Q_m(x)$, of bidegree (n, m) , $n \leq m$, and $\{\int R_i(x^{1/n}) dx, i = 1, \dots, n\}$ as set of determining factor is reducible in $\mathcal{D}_K$ with a right factor of order 1 if and only if, n divides m and there exist i in $\{1, \dots, n\}$ such that the differential equation $L(\partial + R_i)(u) = 0$ has a polynomial solution. As an application, we prove that the Setoyanagi operator $S_{p,q} = \partial^2 - (ax^p + bx^q)$ (see [D, L-R], Example 4) is irreducible over $\mathbb{C}(x)[\partial]$. For this purpose, we show that the determinant $\nabla(d, \alpha) = |c_{i,j}|_{1 \leq i,j \leq d+1}$, defined in section 4, which seems to be not considered in the past, is not zero.

2. DETERMINING FACTORS

Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, K is the quotient field of the ring of polynomials $R = k[x]$, $\partial = \frac{d}{dx}$ is the derivation of K , $\mathcal{D} = R[\partial] = k[x, \partial]$ is the Weyl algebra over k and $\mathcal{D}_K = K[\partial]$ is the set of differential operators with coefficients in K , so $\mathcal{D}_K$ is an associative noncommutative k -algebra. We denote, also, by ∂ the extension of ∂ to the Picard-Vessio extensions of K , V an R -module of rank n , and ∇_∂ a contraction by ∂ of a connection on V , i.e. a k -linear map on V satisfying:

$$\nabla_\partial(av) = (\partial a)v + a\nabla_\partial(v), \quad a \in R, \quad v \in V.$$

We define a structure of left $\mathcal{D}$ -module on V by setting :

$$\left(\sum_{i=0}^n a_i \partial^i\right)v = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i \nabla_\partial^i(v), \quad a_i \in R, \quad v \in V$$

Inversely, if V is a left $\mathcal{D}$ -module of finite rank as an R -module, than we can define a connection on it by putting $\nabla(x) = \partial(x)$.

An operator $L \in \mathcal{D}$ is said to be monic if its leading coefficient, with respect to ∂ is one. If L is monic operator than $\mathcal{D}/\mathcal{D}L$ is a free R -module of finite rank.

Date: February 2010.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. [2000]Primary 12 H 05, 33C 10, 11C20, 15B36.

Key words and phrases. Airy operator, Setoyanagi operator, Determining factor, Differential equation, Determinant.

We say that a D -module V is cyclic if there exist a monic operator L in $\mathcal{D}$ such that V is isomorphic, as a D -module to, $\mathcal{D}/\mathcal{D}L$. By scalar extension we can define $V_K = K \otimes_R V$, thus V_K is a K -vector space of dimension the rank of V as a free R -module. As above, we define a connection on V_K , denoted by ∇_K , or simply ∇ , as follows :

$$\nabla(a \otimes v) = (\partial a) \otimes v + a \otimes \nabla(v), \quad a \in K, v \in V.$$

thereby ∇ define a structure of $\mathcal{D}_K$ -module on V_K .

Definition 1. *Two operators of $\mathcal{D}$ (resp. $\mathcal{D}_K$) are said equivalent if their corresponding D -modules are equivalent.*

The following properties (which we can find a proof in [Sg], § 2) will be useful for the rest of this paper.

Proposition 1. *Two monic operators L_1 and L_2 of $\mathcal{D}_K$ are equivalent if and only if there exists L_3 in $\mathcal{D}_K$ having no common factor on the right with L_1 and L_4 in $\mathcal{D}_K$ such that $L_2 \circ L_3 = L_4 \circ L_1$.*

Let $\tilde{K}$ be a Picard-Vessiot extension of K , containing the Picard-Vessiot extension of L_1 and L_2 . We denote by V_1, V_2 the respective solutions spaces of $L_1(y) = 0$ and $L_2(y) = 0$. Let G be the differential Galois group of $\tilde{K}$. Then, the operators L_1 and L_2 are equivalent if and only if their corresponding G -modules V_1 and V_2 are isomorphic (the isomorphism is given by the natural action on $V_1 \subset \tilde{K}$). The operator L_3 can be chosen such that its order is strictly lower than that of L_1 , see [Sg], Lemma 2.5.

Definition 2. *An element of $\mathcal{D}_K$ is called reducible (resp. completely reducible) if it decomposes into a product of at least two factors of order ≥ 1 (resp. of order 1).*

An operator of $\mathcal{D}$ may be reducible in $\mathcal{D}_K$ without being on $\mathcal{D}$ (see [Be] § 3.).

Let $\hat{K} = k((1/x))$ be the field of meromorphic formal series, near the infinity, with coefficients in k (k is an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero), equipped with its usual derivation $\partial = \frac{d}{dx}$ and its valuation $1/x$ -adic v . Let $\bar{K}$ be the algebraic closure of $\hat{K}$, then the valuation and the derivation of $\hat{K}$ extends uniquely to $\bar{K}$. For example if $a \in k[x]$ is a polynomial of degree $\deg(a)$, $v(a) = -\deg(a)$. ■ More generally, we will put $\deg(a) = -v(a)$ for all $a \in \bar{K}$. Let

$$(2.1) \quad L = \partial^n + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i \partial^i, \quad a_i \in \hat{K}$$

be a differential operator with coefficients in $\hat{K}$. The theorem and Hukuhara Turrittin (see [I]) shows the existence of a basis $(u_1, \dots, u_n)$ of solutions of the form:

$$(2.2) \quad u_i(x) = (\exp K_i(x))(1/x)^{\lambda_i} v_i(1/x), \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

where $K_i(x)$ is a polynomial in $x^{1/q}$ (for some integer q) without constant term, λ_i is an element of k , $(1/x)^{\lambda_i}$ is a solution (in a Picard-Vessiot extension of $\hat{K}$) of the differential equation $y' = -(\lambda_i/x)y$ and

$$v_i(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n_i} v_{i,j}(1/x) (\text{Log} x)^j,$$

where

$$v_{i,j}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} v_{i,j,k} x^{-k/q} \in \bar{K}.$$

Definition 3. The polynomials K_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$, 2.2, are called the determining factors of the operator L 2.1. We say that L is of simple characteristics, if for every pair (i, j) of distinct indices in $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, we have $\deg(K_i - K_j) = \deg(K_i)$.

Definition 4. Let $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \neq 0$, P_n, Q_m two polynomials in $k[x]$ of degree n, m and $\partial = \frac{d}{dx}$. The operator $L = P_n(\partial) + Q_m(x)$ is called an Airy operator of bidegree (n, m) .

Airy operator generalize the classical Airy equation $y'' - xy = 0$ (where $n = 2$ and $m = 1$). Their study was initiated by N. Katz [K], in order to calculate the differential Galois group. Katz shows that this calculation is reduced precisely to questions of reducibility and self duality for the operator L .

Lemma 1. The characteristics of an Airy operator $L = P_n(\partial) + Q_m(x)$ of bidegree (n, m) is simple and the determining factors K_i are polynomials in $x^{1/n}$ of degree $\frac{n+m}{n}$ without constant terms. Their derivatives $K'_i = R_i$ are, in the case $m \geq n$, solutions to the inequality

$$\deg(P_n(R) + Q_m(x)) \leq \frac{nm - m - n}{n}.$$

where $R \in \bar{K}$ is a polynomial in $x^{1/n}$. [?]

Proof. See [S], Lemma 1.2.1 page 525. □

Definition 5. The Fourier transform of an operator $L = \sum_{i=0}^N a_i(x) \partial^i$, $a_i \in R$ of $\mathcal{D}$, relatively to ∂ , is the operator $\mathcal{F}(L) = \sum_{i=0}^N a_i(\partial) (-x)^i$.

Remark 1. The Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}$ is a k -linear bijection from $\mathcal{D}$ to itself. We have

$$\mathcal{F}^2(L) = [-1]^* L = \sum_{i=0}^N a_i(-x) (-\partial)^i.$$

Proposition 2. $L \in \mathcal{D}$ is reducible (in $\mathcal{D}$) if and only if its Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}(L)$ is reducible.

An operator $L \in \mathcal{D}$ is called biunitary if its leading coefficient relatively to ∂ and x are unity of R . The following result improve the previous.

Proposition 3. A biunitary operator $L \in \mathcal{D}$ is reducible (in $\mathcal{D}_K$ if and only if its Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}(L)$ is reducible in $\mathcal{D}_K$.

Proof. See [S], Proposition 1.3.1. □

3. REDUCIBILITY

Katz ([Ka], page 26), has proved that an Airy operator of bidegree (n, m) , where n and m are coprime, is irreducible in $\mathcal{D}_K$. The following result improve the preview.

Theorem 1. *An Airy operator $L = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i \partial^i + Q_m(x)$, of bidegree (n, m) , $n \leq m$, and $\{\int R_i(x^{1/n}) dx, i = 1, \dots, n\}$ as set of determining factor is reducible in $\mathcal{D}_K$ with a right factor of order 1 if and only if the following two conditions are satisfied :*

- 1) n divides m ,
- 2) there exist i in $\{1, \dots, n\}$ such that the differential equation $L(\partial + R_i)(u) = 0$ has a polynomial solution.

Proof. See [S] Proposition 2.1.1. □

If $L = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i \partial^i + Q_m(x)$, then the adjoint operator of L , denoted L^ν , is defined by

$$L^\nu = \sum_{i=0}^n (-\partial)^i a_i + Q_m(x).$$

With the same hypothesis as the theorem, we can easily prove that L is reducible in $\mathcal{D}_K$ with a left factor of order 1 if and only if n divides m and there exist i in $\{1, \dots, n\}$ such that the differential equation $L^\nu(\partial - R_i)(u) = 0$ has a polynomial solution.

It is well known (see [D, L-R]) that the operator $\partial^2 - q$, $q \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ is reducible in $\mathbb{C}(x)[\partial]$ if and only if the Ricatti equation $u' + u^2 = q$ has a solution in $\mathbb{C}(x)$. We propose a more manageable criterion using the determining factor properties of the operator.

4. APPLICATION

Let $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$, $q < p$, $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$, $ab \neq 0$. Let $S_{p,q} = \partial^2 - (ax^p + bx^q)$ the Setoyanagi operator. If we assume that the operator $S_{p,q}$ is reducible in $\mathbb{C}(x)[\partial]$, then the Theorem 1 implies that p is an even integer. We assume $p = 2m$. The determining factors $\int R$ of $S_{p,q}$, according to Lemma ??, are given by:

$$R = \varepsilon \sqrt{a} x^m \sum_{i=0}^r \binom{1/2}{i} (b/a)^i x^{i(q-2m)}$$

where $r = E\left(\frac{m}{2m-q}\right)$ is the integral part of $\frac{m}{2m-q}$ and $\varepsilon = \pm 1$. Using Theorem 1, we deduce that there exists $d \in \mathbb{N}$ such that if d_{m-1} denote the coefficient of x^{m-1} in $R^2 - [ax^{2m} + bx^q]$ so we obtain

$$\frac{d_{m-1}}{(2d+m)\sqrt{a}} = \varepsilon, \text{ with } \varepsilon = \pm 1.$$

We therefore find the conditions cited by [D, L-R], namely, $\frac{m+1}{2m-q}$ is a natural number $s \geq 1$ (in fact $(r+1)(q-2m) + 2m$ is equal to $m-1$) and condition

$$d_{m+1} = 2a \binom{1/2}{s} (b/a)^s = \varepsilon (2d+m) \sqrt{a},$$

we can write as follows: there exist $d \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\varepsilon \sqrt{a} \binom{1/2}{s} (b/a)^s - \frac{m}{2} = d.$$

Setoyanagi (cited by [D, L-R], Example 4) gave a necessary and sufficient conditions of reducibility in the case $\frac{m+1}{2m-q} = s \leq 2$. For $s = 2$, we can show that this case reduces to the case $m = q = 1$.

As an application, we will consider $m = 2$ and $q = 3$ (so $s = 3$), we show the following result:

Proposition 4. *The Setoyanagi operator $S_{4,3} = \partial^2 - (ax^4 + bx^3)$, $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$, a or $b \neq 0$, is irreducible in $\mathbb{C}(x)[\partial]$.*

Proof. If a or $b = 0$, it is easy to verify that $S_{4,3}$ is irreducible. For the following, we assume that $ab \neq 0$. The change of variable $x - \frac{b}{4a}$ preserves the reducibility properties of the operator $S_{4,3}$. Let S be the operator obtained after the change of variable. We have

$$S = \partial^2 - Q,$$

where

$$Q = ax^4 - \frac{3b^2}{8a}x^2 + \frac{b^3}{8a^2}x - \frac{3b^4}{4^4a^3}.$$

The determining factors of S are $\int R$ with

$$R = \varepsilon \sqrt{a} \left[x^2 - \frac{3b^2}{16a^2} \right], \quad \varepsilon = \pm 1.$$

The operator $S^R = S(\partial + R)$ is equal to $\partial^2 + 2R\partial + [R^2 + R' - Q]$. If S is reducible then the differential equation $S^R(u) = 0$ have a polynomial solution. However, there exist $d \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\frac{b^3}{16sa^{3/2}} = d + 1.$$

As above we can suppose $s = 1$. If necessary, we change the argument of $\sqrt{a}$. We put $\alpha = \frac{2a}{b}$, consequently

$$\sqrt{a} = 2(d+1)\alpha^3$$

and

$$S^R = \partial^2 + [4(d+1)\alpha^3x^2 - 3(d+1)\alpha] \partial + [-4d(d+1)\alpha^3x + 3(d+1)^2\alpha^2]$$

The differential equation $S^R(u) = 0$ have a polynomial solution of degree d if and only if S^R , considered as a linear operator on $\mathbb{C}_d[x]$ is not an injection. This is equivalent to determinant $\nabla(d, \alpha) = |c_{i,j}|_{1 \leq i,j \leq d+1}$, defined by

$$c_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 3(d+1)^2\alpha^2, & \text{if } j = i \\ -4(d-i+2)(d+1)\alpha^3, & \text{if } j = i-1 \\ -3i(d+1)\alpha, & \text{if } j = i+1 \\ i.(i+1), & \text{if } j = i+2 \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

is zero. □

Lemma 2. $\nabla(d, \alpha) \neq 0$.

Proof. $\nabla(d, \alpha)$ is a polynomial in two variables d and α , homogeneous of degree $2(d+1)$ compared to α . Therefore

$$\nabla(d, \alpha) = \mu(d) \alpha^{2(d+1)}.$$

It suffices to show that $\mu(d) \neq 0$. For this purpose, we note that if d is an even integer then $\mu(d)$ is congruent to 1 modulo 2 and $\mu(d)$ is not zero. Assume, for

the rest that d is an odd integer. Let $(a_{i,j})$ the matrix obtained from $(c_{i,j})$ after putting $\alpha = 1$. Then

$$|a_{i,j}| = \mu(d).$$

We consider the order p determinants extracted from $(a_{i,j})$ as follows:

$$\nabla_p = |a_{i,j}|_{d-p+2 \leq i, j \leq d+1},$$

for example

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_2 &= \begin{vmatrix} 3(d+1)^2 & -3d(d+1) \\ -4(d+1) & 3(d+1)^2 \end{vmatrix} \\ &= 3(d+1)^2(3d^2 + 2d + 3) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_0 &= 1, \\ \nabla_{d+1} &= \mu(d). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, after developing ∇_{d+1} with respect to its first colon, we obtain the recurrence relation

$$(4.1) \quad \nabla_{p+1} = (d+1)^2 [3\nabla_p - 12\lambda_{p-1}\nabla_{p-1} + 16\lambda_{p-1}\lambda_{p-2}\nabla_{p-2}],$$

for $p = 2, \dots, d$, and

$$\lambda_k = (k+1)(d-k).$$

We propose to prove that, for $p \in \{1, \dots, d-1\}$

$$\nabla_{p+1} > 4\lambda_p \nabla_p.$$

For the rest we put $y = 3(d+1)^2$, then

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{cases} \nabla_1 = y \\ \nabla_2 = (y - 4\lambda_0) \nabla_1 > 4\nabla_1 \\ \nabla_3 = y(y^2 - (4\lambda_0 + 4\lambda_1)y + \frac{16}{3}\lambda_0\lambda_1) > 4\lambda_2 \nabla_2. \end{cases}$$

We assume that there exists an integer $q \in \{1, \dots, d-1\}$ such that

$$\nabla_{q+1} \leq 4\lambda_q \nabla_q.$$

Let $p = \inf \{q; \nabla_q \leq 4\lambda_q \nabla_q\}$. The system 4.2 leads that $p \geq 4$.

By writing

$$\nabla_p > 4\lambda_{p-1} \nabla_{p-1}$$

and

$$\nabla_{p+1} \leq 4\lambda_p \nabla_p,$$

we can deduce the following relation

$$(y - 4\lambda_p) \nabla_p \leq 4\lambda_{p-1}y \left(\nabla_{p-1} - \frac{4}{3}\lambda_{p-2}\nabla_{p-2} \right),$$

where

$$(4.3) \quad \nabla_p \leq \frac{4\lambda_{p-1}y}{y - 4\lambda_p} \left[\nabla_{p-1} - \frac{4}{3}\lambda_{p-2}\nabla_{p-2} \right].$$

The relations

$$\nabla_p = y \left[\nabla_{p-1} - 4\lambda_{p-2}\nabla_{p-2} + \frac{16}{3}\lambda_{p-2}\lambda_{p-3}\nabla_{p-3} \right],$$

and 4.3 leads

$$(4.4) \quad y \left(1 - \frac{4\lambda_{p-1}}{y - 4\lambda_p} \right) \nabla_{p-1} \leq 4\lambda_{p-2}y \left[1 - \frac{\frac{4}{3}\lambda_{p-1}}{y - 4\lambda_p} \right] \nabla_{p-2} - \frac{16}{3}\lambda_{p-2}\lambda_{p-3}y\nabla_{p-3}.$$

Replacing ∇_{p-1} by its expression in the inequality 4.4 we obtain

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left[y^2 \left(1 - \frac{4\lambda_{p-1}}{y - 4\lambda_p} \right) - 4\lambda_{p-2}y \left[1 - \frac{\frac{4}{3}\lambda_{p-1}}{y - 4\lambda_p} \right] \nabla_{p-2} \right] \\ & \leq \left[4\lambda_{p-3}y^2 \left(1 - \frac{4\lambda_{p-1}}{y - 4\lambda_p} \right) - \frac{16}{3}y\lambda_{p-2}\lambda_{p-3}y \right] \\ & \nabla_{p-3} - \frac{16}{3}y^2 \left(1 - \frac{4\lambda_{p-1}}{y - 4\lambda_p} \right) \lambda_{p-3}\lambda_{p-4}y\nabla_{p-4}. \end{aligned}$$

From the definition of p , we deduce that $\nabla_{p-3} > 4\lambda_{p-4}\nabla_{p-4}$, and the relationship 4.5 then leads

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{aligned} & y \left[y \left(1 - \frac{4\lambda_{p-1}}{y - 4\lambda_p} \right) - 4\lambda_{p-2} \left[1 - \frac{\frac{4}{3}\lambda_{p-1}}{y - 4\lambda_p} \right] \nabla_{p-2} \right] \\ & < 4\lambda_{p-3}y \left[\frac{2}{3}y \left(1 - \frac{4\lambda_{p-1}}{y - 4\lambda_p} \right) - \frac{4}{3}\lambda_{p-2} \right] \nabla_{p-3}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, the relationships

$$\nabla_{p-2} > 4\lambda_{p-3}\nabla_{p-3}$$

and 4.6 leads

$$y(y - 4\lambda_p + 4\lambda_{p-1}) + 4\lambda_{p-2}(y - 4\lambda_p) - 12\lambda_{p-2} \left(y - 4\lambda_p - \frac{4}{3}\lambda_{p-1} \right) < 0.$$

Thereby, we have

$$(4.7) \quad y^2 - y(4\lambda_p + 4\lambda_{p-1} + 8\lambda_{p-2}) + 32\lambda_p\lambda_{p-2} + 16\lambda_{p-1}\lambda_{p-2} < 0$$

We put, for $p \in \{2, \dots, d-1\}$,

$$h(p) = y^2 - y(4\lambda_p + 4\lambda_{p-1} + 8\lambda_{p-2}) + 32\lambda_p\lambda_{p-2} + 16\lambda_{p-1}\lambda_{p-2}$$

we differentiate the function h and we replace λ_k by $(k+1)(d-k)$, thus

$$\begin{aligned} h'(p) &= 8(d-p+3)(4\lambda_p + 2\lambda_{p-1} - y) + 4(d-2p-1)(8\lambda_{p-2} - y) \\ &\quad + 4(d-2p+1)(4\lambda_{p-2} - y). \end{aligned}$$

For $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, d-1\}$ the function λ_k varies between d and $\frac{(d+1)^2}{2}$. As $y = 3(d+1)^2$, the sign of h' is strictly positive if $p > \frac{d+3}{2}$; is strictly negative if $p < \frac{d-1}{2}$ (as d is an odd integer d , view the beginning of the prof, and p is an integer). We calculate $h\left(\frac{d-1}{2}\right)$, $h\left(\frac{d+1}{2}\right)$ and $h\left(\frac{d+3}{2}\right)$. We find

$$\begin{aligned} h\left(\frac{d-1}{2}\right) &= 56d^2 - 112d + 120, \\ h\left(\frac{d+1}{2}\right) &= 16d^2 - 32d + 48, \\ h\left(\frac{d+3}{2}\right) &= 24(d+1)^2. \end{aligned}$$

For $p \in \{2, \dots, d-1\}$, the least value of h is $h\left(\frac{d+1}{2}\right)$ which is strictly greater than zero, which contradicts inequality 4.7. Therefore,

$$\nabla_{p+1} > 4\lambda_p \nabla_p, \quad p \in \{1, \dots, d-1\}.$$

By putting $p = d$ in 4.1 and $p = d-1$ in ??, we obtain

$$\nabla_{d+1} = y \left[\nabla_d - 4\lambda_{d-1} \nabla_{d-1} + \frac{16}{3} \lambda_{d-1} \lambda_{d-2} \nabla_{d-2} \right]$$

and

$$\nabla_d > 4\lambda_{d-1} \nabla_{d-1},$$

which leads to $\nabla_{d+1} > \frac{16}{3} y \lambda_{d-1} \lambda_{d-2} \nabla_{d-2}$, and over p and ∇_{d+1} is strictly positive, which achieve the proof. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Bertrand, Extensions de D-modules et groupes de Galois différentiels, Springer L.N, N° 1454, p. 125-141.
- [2] A. Duval - M. Loday-Richaud , Kovacic's algorithm and its application to some families of special functions, AAEECC, 3. 1992, p. 211-246.
- [3] E. L. Ince, Ordinary differential equations London 1927.
- [4] N. Katz, On the calculation of some differential Galois groups, Invent. Math. 87 (1987) p 13 - 61.
- [5] L. Saidane, Propriétés algébriques des opérateurs d'Airy de petit ordre; Ann. Fac. Sc. Toulouse, 6eme série, tome 9, n 3(2000), p. 519-550.
- [6] M. Singer, Testing reducibility of linear differential operators : A group theoretic.perspective. AAEECC. (1995).

DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES, FACULTÉ DES SCIENCES DE TUNIS, UNIVERSITÉ DE TUNIS-EL MANAR, CAMPUS UNIVERSITAIRE, 2092, EL-MANAR, TUNIS , TUNISIA
E-mail address: lotfi.saidane@fst.rnu.tn.